

Land and Environment Court

New South Wales

Case Name: Powani v Lake Macquarie City Council

Medium Neutral Citation: [2017] NSWLEC 1695

Hearing Date(s): Conciliation conferences on 26 October 2017, 9, 22

November 2017,

Date of Orders: 5 December 2017

Decision Date: 5 December 2017

Jurisdiction: Class 1

Before: Brown C

Decision: See (5) below

Catchwords: DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION: demolition of existing

dwellings, erection of multi unit housing development,

lot consolidation and 7 lot strata subdivision; conciliation conference; agreement between the

parties; orders

Legislation Cited: Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

Land and Environment Court Act 1979

Category: Principal judgment

Parties: Deepak Powani (Applicant)

Lake Macquarie City Council (Respondent)

Representation: Solicitor:

Mr G Long, solicitor(Applicant)
Mr T To, barrister (Respondent)

File Number(s): 2017/156219

Publication Restriction: No

JUDGMENT

- 1 **COMMISSIONER**: This is an appeal against the refusal of Development Application DA/1675/2016 for demolition of existing dwellings, erection of Multi Dwelling Housing, two into one lot consolidation and 7 lot Strata Subdivision at 84 and 86 Dudley Road, Charlestown. demolition of existing dwellings, erection of Multi Dwelling Housing, two into one lot consolidation and 7 lot Strata Subdivision
- In this matter, at or after a conciliation conference, an agreement under s 34(3) of the Land and Environment Court Act 1979 (the Court Act) was reached between the parties as to the terms of a decision in the proceedings that was acceptable to the parties. As the presiding Commissioner, I was satisfied that the decision was one that the Court could have made in the proper exercise of its functions (this being the test applied by s 34(3) of the Court Act). As a consequence, s 34(3)(a) of the Act required me to "dispose of the proceedings in accordance with the decision".
- 3 The Court Act also required me to "set out in writing the terms of the decision" (s 34(3)(b)). The orders made to give effect to the agreement constitute that document.
- In making the orders to give effect to the agreement between the parties, I was not required to make, and have not made, any merit assessment of the issues that were originally in dispute between the parties.
- The final orders to give effect to the parties' agreement under s34(3) of the Land and Environment Court Act 1979 are:
 - (1) the Applicant be granted leave to rely upon the following amended plans:
 - (a) Architectural Plans: ELK Designs Newcastle Project No. 16054
 - (i) Title Sheet/Summary of BASIX Commitments DA001
 - (ii) Site Plan DA003 Issue M dated 21 November 2017
 - (iii) Ground Floor Plan DA100 Issue J dated 15 November 2017

- (iv) First Floor Plan DA101 Issue J dated 15 November 2017
- (v) Roof Plan DA102 Issue G dated 31 October 2017
- (vi) Elevations DA300 Issue I dated 15 November 2017
- (vii) Elevations DA301 Issue I dated 15 November 2017
- (viii) Sections DA400 Issue I dated 15 November 2017

(b)

Landscape Plans: JK's Garden Creations

- (i) Overall Landscape Plan 2 of 5 dated 21 November 2017
- (ii) Units 1-4 Landscape Plan 3 of 5 dated 21 November 2017
- (iii) Units 5-7 Landscape Plan 4 of 5 dated 21 November 2017
- (iv) Specification Plan 5 of 5 dated 21 November 2017
- (c) Arborist Report: Bradley Magus of Abacus Tree Services dated 6 February 2017 (amended November 2017)
- (d) Waste Management Plan: ELK Designs dated10 November 2017
- (e) Arborist Report: Bradley Magus of Abacus Tree Services dated 6 February 2017 (amended November 2017)
- (f) Waste Management Plan: ELK Designs dated 10 November 2017
- (2) the appeal be upheld;
- (3) development consent be granted for demolition of existing dwellings, erection of Multi Dwelling Housing, two into one lot consolidation and 7 lot Strata Subdivision at 84 and 86 Dudley Road, Charlestown NSW 2290 on conditions set out in Annexure A;
- (4) the Applicant pay the Respondent's costs pursuant to s97B of the Act as agreed in the sum of \$5,000.

.....

Commissioner Brown

Annexure A

DISCLAIMER - Every effort has been made to comply with suppression orders or statutory provisions prohibiting publication that may apply to this judgment or decision. The onus remains on any person using material in the judgment or decision to ensure that the intended use of that material does not breach any such order or provision. Further enquiries may be directed to the Registry of the Court or Tribunal in which it was generated.